Semiclapp Summer School Solutions to exercises: WKB solutions and Propagation of singularities Lecturer: JARED WUNSCH, Teaching assistant: ANTOINE PROUFF May 13 – 17, 2024

1 WKB solutions

Solution to Exercise 1

- 1. We plug the ansatz into the equation $-\frac{h^2}{2}\Delta u + Vu = 0$ and group terms according to powers of h. The $O(h^0)$ terms give the Eikonal equation. The O(h) terms give the transport equation. See the lecture notes or [1, Chapter 2].
- 2. For any $x \in \{x_1 = 0\}$, the o.d.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \nabla\phi\left(x(t)\right)$$

with initial datum x(t) = x can be solved locally by the Cauchy–Lipschitz theorem, locally uniformly with respect to x. Then it holds for any $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \{x_1 = 0\}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}a\left(S(t)x\right) = \left(\nabla\phi\cdot\nabla a\right)\left(S(t)x\right) = -\frac{1}{2}(a\Delta\phi)\left(S(t)x\right),$$

from which we deduce that

$$a(S(t)x) = a(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\Delta\phi) \left(S(t)x\right)\right)$$

Therefore, solutions a_0 to the transport equation with initial datum $a_{0|x_1=0} = b \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ can be written

$$a_0(S(t)x) = b(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t (\Delta\phi) (S(t)x)\right), \qquad x \in \{x_1 = 0\}.$$

Solution to Exercise 2

1. We change variables to check that $||u_h||_{L^2} = ||u||_{L^2}$. Setting $h = E^{-1}$, we have

$$-\frac{h^2}{2}u_h''(x) + \frac{x^2}{2}u_h(x) = -\frac{h}{2}u''(h^{-1/2}x) + h\frac{(h^{-1/2}x)^2}{2}u(h^{-1/2}x) = hEu(h^{-1/2}x) = u_h.$$

2. The trajectory of the Hamiltonian flow starting from (x_0, ξ_0) is the map $t \mapsto (x^t, \xi^t)$ satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x^t \\ \xi^t \end{pmatrix} = \mathsf{H} \begin{pmatrix} x^t \\ \xi^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^t \\ -x^t \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ \xi^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \xi_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, it holds $\ddot{x}^t = -x^t$. This is solved by linear combinations of $\cos t$ and $\sin t$. Matching the initial data, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^t\\ \xi^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \cos t + \xi_0 \sin t\\ -x_0 \sin t + \xi_0 \cos t \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Let ν_E be the uniform probability measure on the circle of radius $\sqrt{2E}$. Then the classical probability density at energy E is the pushforward measure $\pi_*\nu_E$, where $\pi(x,\xi) = x$ is the cotangent bundle projection. Then for any $f \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, d\pi_* \nu_E(x) = \int_{\sqrt{2E}\mathbb{S}^1} (f \circ \pi)(\theta) \, d\nu_E(\theta) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f\left(\sqrt{2E}\cos t\right) \, dt$$

We use the change of variables $y = \sqrt{2E} \cos t$ whose Jacobian is $\sqrt{2E} |\sin t| = \sqrt{2E - y^2}$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, d\pi_* \nu_E(x) = \pi^{-1} \int_{-\sqrt{2E}}^{\sqrt{2E}} f(y) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{2E - y^2}}.$$

4. Taking square roots, the Eikonal equation reads

$$\phi'(x) = \pm \sqrt{2E - x^2},$$

which in turn gives

$$\phi(x) = C \pm \int_0^x \sqrt{2E - y^2} \, dy.$$

It remains to compute the integral. We set the change of variables $\sin t = y/\sqrt{2E}$, for which we have $\sqrt{2E - y^2} dt = dy$, and therefore

$$\int_0^x \sqrt{2E - y^2} \, dy = \int_0^{\arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}}} 2E \cos^2 t \, dt = E \arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}} + E \int_0^{\arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}}} \cos(2t) \, dt$$
$$= E \arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}} + \frac{E}{2} \sin\left(2 \arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}}\right) = E \arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}} + E \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}} \cos\left(\arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}}\right)$$
$$= E \arcsin \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}} + E \frac{x}{\sqrt{2E}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{2E}},$$

which concludes the proof.

5. Note that
$$\phi'(x) = \sqrt{2E - x^2}$$
 and $\phi''(x) = -x(2E - x^2)^{-1/2}$ so that the transport equation reduces to

$$a_0'(x) = \frac{x/2}{2E - x^2} a_0(x).$$

The map $\frac{1}{4} \log |2E - x^2|$ is a primitive of the factor in the right-hand side, so that solutions to this o.d.e. are of the desired form. We observe that the modulus squared of the amplitude a_0 gives back the classical probability density. This also matches the profile of the amplitude on Figure 1.

6. We change variables by setting $X = \pm (x - x_{\pm})/\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$ to be determined. Writing $U_h(X) = u_h(\pm \alpha X + x_{\pm}) = u_h(x)$, we have

$$U_h''(X) = \alpha^2 u_h''(\pm \alpha X + x_{\pm}) = \alpha^2 \frac{2}{h^2} \sqrt{2E} \alpha X u_h(\pm \alpha X + x_{\pm}) = \alpha^3 \frac{2^{3/2} E^{1/2}}{h^2} X U_h(X).$$

Choosing $\alpha = 2^{-1/2} E^{-1/6} h^{2/3}$, we see that U_h solves the Airy equation. Rewriting $u_h(x) = U_h(X) = Ai(X)$ in terms of the x variable yields the desired result. This holds for $x = x_{\pm} + O(h^{3/2})$ (the quadratic error term in the Taylor expansion of the potential is then much smaller than the values of $U_h(X)$).

7. (If time allows:) The above computations generalize to smooth confining potentials with a unique critical point for instance, although the solutions to the Eikonal and transport equations are not as explicit as for the harmonic oscillator (they involve a primitive of $\sqrt{2E - V(x)}$...).

Solution to Exercise 3

We refer to [2, Proposition 3.25] or [3, Theorem 4.15].

2 Semiclassical wave front set and defect measures

Solution to Exercise 4

• Let us show that $WF_h e^{\frac{i}{h}\alpha \cdot x} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\alpha\}$. Let ϕ be a cut-off function. Then it holds

$$\mathcal{F}_h\left(\phi(x)e^{\frac{i}{h}\alpha\cdot x}\right)(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_h\phi(\xi - \alpha) = h^{-d/2}\mathcal{F}_1\phi\left(\frac{\xi - \alpha}{h}\right).$$
(2.1)

Now since ϕ is smooth, its Fourier transform has rapid decay, namely

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C_n > 0: \qquad \left| \mathcal{F}_h\left(\phi(x)e^{\frac{i}{h}\alpha \cdot x}\right)(\xi) \right| \le C_n h^{-d/2} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi - \alpha|}{h} \right)^{-n} = C_n \frac{h^{n-d/2}}{(h+|\xi - \alpha|)^n}$$

For ξ in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\xi_0 \neq \alpha$, the denominator is bounded from below by a positive constant, so we get $O(h^{\infty})$, namely $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_h e^{\frac{i}{h}\alpha \cdot x}$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This proves that $WF_h e^{\frac{i}{h}\alpha \cdot x} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\alpha\}$. To prove the converse inclusion, we observe that taking $\xi = \xi_0 = \alpha$ in (2.1), we have

$$\mathcal{F}_h\left(\phi(x)e^{\frac{i}{h}\alpha \cdot x}\right)(\xi) = h^{-d/2}\mathcal{F}_1\phi(0) = (2\pi h)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \, dx,$$

which is not $O(h^{\infty})$ for general ϕ .

• The proof is quite similar for WF_ha : for any cut-off function ϕ , we have

$$\left|\mathcal{F}_{h}(\phi a)(\xi)\right| = h^{-d/2} \left|\mathcal{F}_{1}(\phi a)\left(\frac{\xi}{h}\right)\right| \le Ch^{-d/2} \frac{h^{n}}{(h+|\xi|)^{n}}.$$
(2.2)

In a neighborhood of any $\xi_0 \neq 0$, this is $O(h^{\infty})$ using the rapid decay of $\mathcal{F}_1(\phi a)$. In addition, if ϕ is supported away from the support of a, this is zero for all ξ . This shows that $WF_ha \subset \text{supp } a \times \{0\}$. Now if ϕ is supported in the open set $\{a > 0\}$, then from (2.2), $|\mathcal{F}_h(\phi a)(\xi)|$ is not $O(h^{\infty})$ for general ϕ , which shows that $\{a > 0\} \times \{0\} \subset WF_ha$. Yet the wave front set is closed and $\overline{\{a > 0\}} = \text{supp } a$, so we finally conclude that $WF_ha = \text{supp } a \times \{0\}$.

Solution to Exercise 5

Let $P_j := \frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_j - \partial_j\phi(x) = \operatorname{Op}_h(p_j)$ with $p_j(x,\xi) = \xi_j - \partial_j\phi(x)$. Let $(x_0,\xi_0) \notin \operatorname{Graph}(d\phi) = \{\xi = d\phi(x)\}$. Then there exists an index j such that $p_j(x_0,\xi_0) \neq 0$. Since $P_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\phi} = 0 = O(h^{\infty})$, we obtain by definition of the wave front set that $\operatorname{WF}_h e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\phi} \subset \operatorname{Graph}(d\phi)$. The converse inclusion follows from Exercise 7 (in fact stationary phase).

We refer to [3, Examples pp. 189-190] for more examples.

Solution to Exercise 6

Denote by $\pi: T^* \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ the cotangent bundle projection $\pi(x, \xi) = x$.

- 1. (bump function going to infinity) The sequence $(u_h)_h$ classically converges weakly in L^2 to 0. In particular, the pushforward measure $\pi_*\mu$ vanishes, so $\mu = 0$ is the unique semiclassical measure associated with $(u_h)_h$.
- 2. (Gaussian wave packet) We let $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compute the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} (u_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h)_{L^2} &= (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \bar{u}_h(x) e^{\frac{i}{h}\xi \cdot (x-y)} a(x,\xi) u_h(y) \, dy d\xi dx \\ &= (2\pi h)^{-d} (\pi h)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-x_0|^2 + |y-x_0|^2}{2h}\right) e^{\frac{i}{h}(\xi-\xi_0) \cdot (x-y)} a(x,\xi) \, dy d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the integral over y:

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\pi h)^{-d/4} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-x_0|^2}{2h}\right) e^{-\frac{i}{h}(\xi-\xi_0).y} \, dy &= e^{-\frac{i}{h}(\xi-\xi_0).x_0} \mathcal{F}_1\left((\pi h)^{-d/4} e^{-\frac{|\bullet|^2}{2h}}\right) \left(\frac{\xi-\xi_0}{h}\right) \\ &= (\pi/h)^{-d/4} e^{-\frac{i}{h}(\xi-\xi_0).x_0} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi-\xi_0|^2}{2h}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain

$$(u_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h)_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} h^{-d} \pi^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-x_0|^2 + |\xi-\xi_0|^2}{2h}\right) e^{\frac{i}{h}(\xi-\xi_0).(x-x_0)} a(x,\xi) \, dxd\xi$$

We can rewrite this as

$$(u_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h)_{L^2} = 2^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{\frac{i}{h}(\xi - \xi_0) \cdot (x - x_0)} a(x, \xi) \, d\mu_h(x, \xi)$$

where

$$d\mu_h(x,\xi) = (2\pi h)^{-d} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-x_0|^2 + |\xi-\xi_0|^2}{2h}\right) \, dxd\xi$$

is a probability measure. The sequence of measures μ_h classically converges to $\delta_{(x_0,\xi_0)}$ weakly. Therefore

$$(u_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h)_{L^2} = Ca(x_0, \xi_0) + o(1)$$

as $h \to 0$, where

$$C := 2^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\xi - \xi_0) \cdot (x - x_0)} d\mu_h(x, \xi) = 2^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i\xi \cdot x} (2\pi)^{-d} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2 + |\xi|^2}{2}\right) dx d\xi$$

is independent of h by changing variables. Yet for $a \equiv 1$, we have

$$1 = ||u_h||_{L^2}^2 = C + o(1),$$

so that finally

$$(u_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h)_{L^2} \xrightarrow{h \to 0} a(x_0, \xi_0),$$

that is to say $\mu = \delta_{(x_0,\xi_0)}$.

3. (plane wave) We compute for any $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$:

$$\langle \bar{u}_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{\frac{i}{h}((\xi - h^{1-\beta}\alpha).(x-y)} a(x,\xi) \, dy d\xi dx = a(x, h^{1-\beta}\alpha),$$

where we used the Fourier inversion formula. Therefore

$$\langle \bar{u}_h, \operatorname{Op}_h(a)u_h \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} a(x, \xi) \, d\delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{h^{1-\beta}\alpha\}}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{h^{1-\beta}\alpha\}}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{h^{1-\beta}\alpha\}$. Examining the weak limit of these measures as $h \to 0$, we obtain $\mu = \delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\}}$ if $\beta < 1$, $\mu = \delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\alpha\}}$ if $\beta = 1$ and $\mu = 0$ if $\beta > 1$.

We refer to [3, Examples pp. 102-104] for more examples.

Solution to Exercise 7

We follow [3, Example 2 p. 103].

1. We have $P_j e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi} = 0 = o(1)$ as $h \to 0$, with $P_j = \frac{h}{i}\partial_j - \partial_j\phi(x) = \operatorname{Op}_h(\xi_j - \partial_j\phi(x))$. Therefore, Proposition 2 gives supp $\mu \subset \bigcap_j \{\xi_j = \partial_j(x)\} = \operatorname{Graph}(d\phi)$. This can also be seen as a consequence of the fact that $\operatorname{WF}_h e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi} \subset \operatorname{Graph}(d\phi)$.

- 2. (a) Symbols of an operator A_h for the left, right or Weyl quantizations differ from a O(h) term, which does not contribute to the semiclassical defect measure.
 - (b) We compute

$$(u_h, a(x, hD)u_h)_{L^2} = (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} a(x, \xi) e^{\frac{i}{h}(\xi \cdot (x-y) - \phi(x) + \phi(y)} \overline{b(x)} b(y) \, dy d\xi \right) \, dx.$$

(c) The critical points satisfy $\partial_{\xi} \Phi_x = x - y = 0$ and $\partial_y \Phi_x = d\phi(y) - \xi$, namely $(y,\xi) = (x, d\phi(x))$. The Hessian matrix reads

$$\partial^2 \Phi_x(y,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2 \phi(y) & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Its determinant is always ± 1 (because $\partial^2 \Phi_x \partial^2 \Phi_x^{\top}$ is upper triangular with 1 on the diagonal for instance). To compute the signature, we can argue that it is constant along continuous non-singular deformations of the matrix, since it is integer-valued. For instance, take

$$t \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} t \partial^2 \phi(y) & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is non-singular for every $t \in [0, 1]$, coincides with $\partial^2 \Phi_x(y, \xi)$ at t = 1 and has signature 0 for t = 0 (the spectrum is made of two eigenvalues, ± 1 , with multiplicity d).

(d) Proposition 3 gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} a(x,\xi) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \Phi_x(y,\xi)} \overline{b(x)} b(y) \, dy d\xi \xrightarrow{h \to 0} a(x,d\phi(x)) |b(x)|^2,$$

which gives exactly the sought result.

Exercise 8: Back to the 1D harmonic oscillator.

Let $(u_h)_{h \in (0,1]}$ satisfies $P_h u_h = o_{L^2}(h)$ with $P_h = -\frac{h^2}{2}\Delta + \frac{|x|^2}{2} - 1$, any semiclassical defect measure μ is supported in $\{\frac{x^2 + \xi^2}{2} = 1\}$ and is invariant by the Hamiltonian flow. Therefore μ is a multiple of the uniform measure on the circle of radius $\sqrt{2}$. It is a probability measure (done in the lecture notes-use factorization from Melissa Tacy's lecture).

References

- Mouez Dimassi and Johannes Sjöstrand. Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit, volume 268 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] Stéphane Nonnenmacher. Introduction to semiclassical analysis. Lecture notes, https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~stephane.nonnenmacher/enseign/ Cours-Semiclassical-Analysis2019-lecture1-8.pdf.
- [3] Maciej Zworski. Semiclassical analysis, volume 138 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.