

Semiclapp summer school – Scattering theory  
 Exercise session II: lower bounds on resolvent for  
 trapping perturbations

The main goal of this session is to show the following Theorem, due to Bony-Burq-Ramond [1], which gives a *lower bound* on the norm of the resolvent in the case of arbitrary trapping.

In the following, we denote  $K_E \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^d$  the trapped set at energy  $E$  for the potential  $V$  and

$$R(E, h) := (P - E - i0)^{-1}, \quad P := -h^2\Delta + V.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $E_0 > 0$ ,  $K_{E_0} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is so that  $\chi = 1$  near  $\pi(K_{E_0})$ . Then, there exists  $C_0 = C_0(E, h)$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $h_0 = h_0(\delta)$  so that*

$$\sup_{|E-E_0|<\delta} \|\chi R(E, h)\chi\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \geq \frac{\log(1/h)}{C_0 h}.$$

for  $0 < h < h_0$ .

Theorem 1 will follow from the following *Kato's local smoothing estimate*.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $E_0 > 0$  and let  $K(h) \geq 1$  be a function on  $(0, 1)$ . Suppose that for  $|E - E_0| < \delta$  and  $\chi \in L_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have*

$$\|\chi R(E, h)\chi\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \frac{K(h)}{h}.$$

Then for  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((E - \delta, E + \delta), [0, 1])$  and  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\chi \varphi(P) \exp(-itP/h)u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq CK(h)\|u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

with a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $h$ .

In parts I-II, we admit Theorem 2 and show Theorem 1. Part III gives a proof of Theorem 2 for the interested student or if time allows. We follow [3, §7.1].

## I – Semiclassical defect measure of a coherent state

The goal of this part is to show

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d$  and*

$$u_0(x) := (2\pi h)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{h}(\langle x - x_0, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{i}{2}|x - x_0|^2)\right),$$

*the coherent state concentrated at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ . Then, for any  $b \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b^w(x, hD)u_0, u_0 \rangle &= b(x_0, \xi_0) + e(h), \\ |e(h)| &\leq Ch^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{|\alpha|=1} \sup_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha b|, \end{aligned}$$

*with  $C > 0$  a constant depending only on the dimension  $d$ .*

Lemma 3 shows that *any* sequence in  $h$  from a coherent state concentrated at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  has for defect measure  $\delta_{x=x_0, \xi=\xi_0}$ , and give a bound on the convergence rate.

1. Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b^w(x, hD)u_0, u_0 \rangle &= \\ &= \frac{2^d}{(2\pi h)^{\frac{3d}{2}}} \int \int \int b(z, \xi) e^{\frac{2i}{h}\langle w, \xi - \xi_0 \rangle} e^{-\frac{1}{h}(|z - x_0|^2 + |w|^2)} dw d\xi dz. \end{aligned}$$

2. Show that, for  $z$  and  $\xi$  fixed

$$\int e^{\frac{2i}{h}\langle w, \xi - \xi_0 \rangle} e^{-\frac{1}{h}(|z - x_0|^2 + |w|^2)} dw = 2^{-\frac{d}{2}} (2\pi h)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{h}|\xi - \xi_0|^2}.$$

3. Deduce that

$$\langle b^w(x, hD)u_0, u_0 \rangle = a_d b(x_0, \xi_0) + e(h),$$

with

$$e(h) := \frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi h)^d} \int \int (b(z, \xi) - b(x_0, \xi_0)) e^{-\frac{1}{h}(|z - x_0|^2 + |w|^2)} dz dw,$$

and  $a_d$  a constant depending only on the dimension.

4. Show that  $a_d = 1$ .
5. Show that

$$|e(h)| \leq h^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{|\alpha|=1} \sup_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\alpha b| \frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi h)^d} \int \int e^{-\frac{1}{2h}(|z - x_0|^2 + |\xi - \xi_0|^2)} dz d\xi$$

and conclude.

## II – Proof of Theorem 1

The plan is to construct a non-trivial  $u_0 \in L^2$  so that, for

$$\varphi \in C_c^\infty((E_0 - \delta, E_0 + \delta), [0, 1]), \quad \varphi(E_0) = 1,$$

we have, for some  $c > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\chi\varphi(P) \exp(-itP/h)u_0\|_{L^2}^2 dt \geq c \log \frac{1}{h} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and use Theorem 2 to conclude. We recall, from functional calculus for pseudodifferential operators (see for example [2, Chapter 8])

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(P(h))\chi^2\varphi(P(h)) &= a^w(x, hD), \quad a \in \mathcal{S}(T^*\mathbb{R}^d), \\ a(x, \xi) &= \chi(x)^2\varphi(p(x, \xi)) + O(h\langle x \rangle^{-\infty}\langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$a_t^w := e^{itP/h} a^w(x, hD) e^{-itP/h}.$$

We will use the following consequence of Egorov's Theorem (see eg [4, Chapter 11]): for  $\alpha > 0$  sufficiently small and independent of  $\delta$ , we have, uniformly in  $0 < t < \alpha \log \frac{1}{h}$

$$\begin{aligned} a_t &\in \mathcal{S}_\gamma(T^*\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}, \\ a_t - (\exp tH_p)^* a &\in h^{2-3\gamma} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(T^*\mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

6. Show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\chi\varphi(P) \exp(-itP/h)u_0\|_{L^2}^2 dt \geq \int_0^{\alpha \log \frac{1}{h}} \langle a_t^w(x, hD)u_0, u_0 \rangle dt.$$

7. Let  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in K_{E_0}$ . Show that, for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(\exp tH_p)^*[\chi^2\varphi(p)](x_0, \xi_0) = 1.$$

8. Deduce that

$$a_t(x_0, \xi_0) = 1 + O(h^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

uniformly for  $0 < t < \alpha \log \frac{1}{h}$ .

9. Conclude by considering the coherent state concentrated at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ .

### III – Proof of Theorem 2

We let

$$T : u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \chi\varphi(P)e^{-itP/h} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

so that by a  $TT^*$  argument, it is enough to show that

$$TT^* = O(K(h)) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

10. Show that

$$TT^*f = \left( \chi e^{-i\bullet P/h} \varphi(P)^2 \chi \right) * f,$$

where  $*$  denotes the convolution in the time variable.

11. Let the inverse semiclassical Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \lambda}^{-1} \psi(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{it\lambda/h} \psi(t) dt.$$

We recall the Stone formula: the spectral measure of  $P$  writes

$$dE_\lambda(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} - (P - \lambda + i0)^{-1} \right) d\lambda.$$

Show that

$$\mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \lambda}^{-1} (e^{-i\bullet P/h} \varphi(P)^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\pm} \pm (P - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \varphi(\lambda)^2.$$

12. Conclude by using the relation between semiclassical Fourier transform and convolution.

### Supplementary exercise: complex absorbing potential

Let  $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$  and

$$P := -h^2\Delta + V.$$

Let  $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$  be so that  $P$  satisfies the following *exterior control condition*:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \rho \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d, \quad \pi_x(\exp(tH_p)\rho) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow -\infty \\ \text{or } \exists t < 0 \text{ s.t. } \pi_x(\exp(tH_p)\rho) \in \{a > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By adapting the proof of the non-trapping resolvent estimate seen during the lesson, show that for any  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exists  $h_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  so that, for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $E > 0$ , and any outgoing solution  $u$  to

$$(P - E - ia)u = \chi f,$$

we have, for  $0 < h \leq h_0$

$$\|\chi u\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{h} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

## References

- [1] Jean-François Bony, Nicolas Burq, and Thierry Ramond. Minoration de la résolvante dans le cas captif. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 348(23-24):1279–1282, 2010.
- [2] Mouez Dimassi and Johannes Sjöstrand. *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, volume 268 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] Semyon Dyatlov and Maciej Zworski. *Mathematical theory of scattering resonances*, volume 200 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019.
- [4] Maciej Zworski. *Semiclassical analysis*, volume 138 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.